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**BAHAGIAN A** *SECTION A*

**GAYA DAN PENYUSUNAN KESELURUHAN** *OVERALL STYLE AND ORGANISATION*

Sila nyatakan respons anda dengan **membulatkan** nombor yang paling sesuai. Sila nyatakan, jika tidak relevan.

*Please indicate your response by* ***circling*** *the most appropriate number. Please state not relevant, where appropriate.*

1. Dari segi kandungan, tajuk tesis adalah

*In terms of contents, the thesis title is*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

2. Dari segi kepadatan, abstrak/ikhtisar ini

*In terms of conciseness, the abstract is*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

3. Dari segi kejelasan penyampaian, tesis ini

*In terms of clarity of presentation, the thesis is*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

  Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

4. Dari segi susunan keseluruhan (bab, rujukan dan lampiran dan lain-lain), tesis ini

*In terms of organization (chapters, bibliography, Appendices, etc.), the thesis is*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

5. Dari segi penggunaan bahasa dan tatabahasa, tesis ini menunjukkan

*In terms of the language and grammar, the thesis shows*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

6. Dari segi ejaannya, tesis ini menunjukkan

*In term of spelling, the thesis shows*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

  Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

**KEKUKUHAN TESIS** *ROBUSTNESS OF THESIS*

7. Peristilahan yang digunakan

*The terminology used is*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

8. Tinjauan bacaan adalah

*The literature review shows*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

9. Objektif kajiannya

*Research objectives are*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

10. Metodologi adalah

*The methodology shows*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

11. Data yang dikutip untuk analisis dan pengukuran

*The data collected for the analysis and testing are*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

12. Analisis kuantitatif (statistik)/kualitatif

*The quantitative (statistical)/qualitative analysis shows*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

13. Interpretasi data/maklumat dan kesimpulannya

*Data/information interpretation and conclusion are*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

14. Hubungan perbincangan dengan penemuan kajian

*The discussion corresponds to the results of the study*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

15. Perbincangannya

*The discussion is*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

16. Bahan rujukan (komprehensif, terkini)

*References (comprehensive, up-to-date) show*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

17. Kajian adalah

*The research shows*

 Ulasan/*Comments*

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

 Amat tidak sesuai Amat sesuai

 *Not appropriate at all Most appropriate*

 1 2 3 4 5

**BAHAGIAN B** *SECTION B*

**PEMBETULAN UTAMA YANG PERLU DILAKSANAKAN/DIBUAT (JIKA ADA)**

*MAJOR REVISIONS REQUIRED (IF ANY)*

Sila gunakan kertas tambahan, jika perlu. *Please use additional paper, if required.*

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

**PEMBETULAN UTAMA YANG PERLU DILAKSANAKAN/DIBUAT (JIKA ADA), samb.**

*MAJOR REVISIONS REQUIRED (IF ANY), cont’d*

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

**BAHAGIAN C** *SECTION C*

**ULASAN TAMBAHAN (Contoh : Kesesuaian untuk penerbitan dan anugerah ) (JIKA ADA)**

*OTHER COMMENTS (Example : Suitability for publication and award) (IF ANY)*

Sila gunakan kertas tambahan, jika perlu. *Please use additional paper, if required.*

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

**BAHAGIAN D** *SECTION D*

**PERAKUAN** *RECOMMENDATION*

Sila catatkan ringkasan perakuan di bawah dengan menandakan (√) di dalam perenggan yang berkaitan :

*Please indicate your summary recommendation by placing a tick (√) against the appropriate paragraph:*

**Perakuan** *Recommendation*

**Lulus**

Calon dianugerah Ijazah Doktor Falsafah/Sarjana tertakluk kepada pindaan/pembetulan kepada tesisnya seperti di dalam laporan Panel Pemeriksa.

***Pass***

*The candidate is awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Masters Degree subject to changes/ corrections to the thesis as listed in the Panel of Examiners’ report.*

**Gagal**

Calon tidak layak dianugerah Ijazah Doktor Falsafah/Sarjana dan juga tidak dibenar untuk menyerah semula tesis.

***Fail***

*The candidate is not awarded a Doctor of Philosophy /Masters Degree and is not allowed to resubmit his/her thesis.*

 Tandatangan *Signature*  Tarikh *Date*

**Garis Panduan Kepada Pemeriksa**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Perakuan** | **Garis panduan untuk Perakuan** |
| **Lulus**  | **Calon dianugerah Doktor Falsafah/Sarjana tertakluk kepada pindaan/pembetulan kepada tesisnya seperti di dalam laporan Panel Pemeriksa.****Perakuan ini dibuat jika tesis:** 1. **Tidak ada pembetulan/masalah;**
2. **Memerlukan suntingan teks, format jadual, format rajah, tata bahasa, ejaan, pembetulan kesilapan menaip dll;**
3. **Memerlukan hanya sedikit maklumat tambahan;**

1. **Hanya memerlukan penjelasan berhubung beberapa bahagian yang kecil dalam teks;**
2. **TIDAK MEMERLUKAN kerja ujikaji tambahan, pengumpulan data yang baru atau penulisan semula yang banyak;**
3. **Tidak akan banyak berubah pada rumusan apabila ditulis semula.**
 |
| **Gagal** | **Calon tidak layak dianugerah Ijazah Doktor Falsafah/Sarjana dan juga tidak dibenar untuk menyerah semula tesis.****Perakuan ini dibuat jika tesis:** 1. **Mempunyai kelemahan yang ketara sehingga kerja-kerja tambahan atau pembetulan tidak dapat meningkatkan tahap tesis ini kepada piawaian yang ditetapkan; atau**
2. **Mempunyai kelemahan yang tidak dapat diperbaiki; atau**
3. **Mempunyai kajian yang tidak mencukupi;**
 |

**Guidelines for Examiners**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Recommendation** | **Recommendation Guidelines** |
| **Pass** | The candidate is awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Masters Degree subject to changes/ corrections in his/her thesis as listed in the Panel of Examiners report.**These recommendations are made if the thesis:** * + - 1. Does not require revision/Has no problems;
			2. Requires text editing, formatting of tables and/or figures, corrections of grammar, spelling, typos etc.;
			3. Requires a little addition of relevant information;
			4. Requires explanations pertaining to several short sections in the text;
			5. **DOES NOT REQUIRE** additional experiments, collection of new data or extensive revision;
			6. Ends with a conclusion that does not differ much when revised.
 |
| **Fail**  | * The candidate is not awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Masters Degree and is not allowed to resubmit his/her thesis.

These recommendations are made if the thesis: 1. Has substantial weaknesses making the thesis to be below acceptable standards which cannot be addressed even with additional work or corrections; or
2. Has weaknesses that cannot be corrected; or
3. Is based on inadequate research
 |

**Appropriateness scales**

| **No.** | **Items** | **Scales** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Not appropriate at all** |  |  |  | **Most appropriate** |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| 1. | *In terms of contents,* *the thesis title is* | Irrelevant at all to the scope of study. | Relatively relevant to the scope of study | Related to the scope of the study | Clearly related to the scope of the study | Well related to the scope of the study |
| 2. | *In terms of conciseness,* *the abstract is*  | Not concise, the research objectives, experimental design, methods, and findings are poorly described. and not relevant at all. | Not concise, the research objectives, experimental design, methods, and findings are poorly described. | Concise, and consists of research objectives, experimental design, methods, and findings. | Concise, the research objectives, experimental design, methods, and findings are clearly described. | Highly concise, the research objectives, experimental design, methods, and findings are well described. |
| 3. | *In terms of clarity of* *presentation, the thesis is* | Not conform to the required guidelines and has generally unacceptable layout in terms of structure and logical arguments. | Not conform to the required guidelines and has generally acceptable layout in terms of structure and logical arguments. | Conform to most of the guidelines and has an acceptable layout in terms of structure and logical arguments. | Conform to all the required guidelines and has a good layout in terms of structure and logical arguments. | Conform to all the required guidelines and has an excellent layout in terms of structure and logical arguments. |
| 4. | *In terms of organization (chapters, bibliography, Appendices, etc.), the thesis is* | Poorly organized and no continuity at all.  | Poorly organized and has poor continuity | Organized and has continuity. | Well organized and has continuity. | Well organized, well-structured and has continuity. |
| 5. | *In terms of the* *language and**grammar, the thesis* *shows* | Poor use of language characterized by numerous errors and grammatical errors. | Inappropriate use of language characterized by relatively few errors and grammatical errors. | Reasonably clear and correct use of language characterized by clear expression with relatively few grammatical errors. | Clear and correct use of language characterized by a clear expression, with few grammatical errors | Clear and correct use of language characterized by a clear style of expression, with no grammatical error. |
| 6. | *In terms of spelling, the thesis shows*  | Numerous spelling errors | Several spelling errors. | A few spelling errors. | Negligible number of spelling errors.  | No spelling error at all.  |
| 7. | *The**terminology* *used is* | Not appropriate at all | Not appropriate | Appropriate | Considerable appropriate  | Most appropriate |
| 8. | *The literature review shows* | No convincing evidence of an understanding of the literature, with a very limited selection of relevant sources and no critical comment. No connection of literature to the research study conducted.  | Evidence of a satisfactory knowledge and limited critical review of the relevant literature as it relates to the research study conducted, but with obvious gaps and omissions. | Evidence of a satisfactory knowledge and limited critical review of the relevant literature as it relates to the research study conducted | Evidence of a sound knowledge and critical review of the literature relevant to the research study conducted. | Evidence of a comprehensive knowledge and critical review of the literature relevant to the research study conducted.  |
| 9. | *Research objectives are* | Poorly defined and not relevant at all | Poorly defined | Appropriately defined | Clearly defined | Well defined  |
| 10. | *The methodology* *shows* | No selection and justification of research methodology and design.  | Research methodology and design are described inappropriately. | Research methodology and design are described appropriately. | Research methodology and design are described thoroughly and clearly. | Research methodology and design are described thoroughly and perfectly. |
| 11. | *The data collected for the* *analysis and testing are* | Inappropriate and insufficient.  | Somewhat inappropriate and insufficient.  | Appropriate and sufficient. | Appropriate, sufficient, and justified.  | Appropriate, sufficient, and well justified. |
| 12. | *The quantitative(statistical)/**qualitative**analysis shows* | No evidence of appropriate use of data analysis techniques  | Some evidence of appropriate use of data analysis techniques | Evidence of appropriate use of data analysis techniques. | Clear evidence of appropriate use of justified data analysis techniques. | Clear evidence of appropriate use of well justified data analysis techniques. |
| 13. | *Data/information* *interpretation and* *conclusion are* | Inaccurate, incomplete, or illogical. | Partially supported by the findings or based on logical reasoning. | Supported by the evidence, or based on logical reasoning | Clearly supported by the evidence, or based on logical reasoning | Fully supported by the evidence or based on logical reasoning. |
| 14. | *The discussion* *corresponds to the* *results of the study.* | Completely irrelevant, inaccurate, incomplete, and illogical. | Partially irrelevant, inaccurate, incomplete, and illogical. | Relevant, accurate, complete, and logical. | Clearly relevant, accurate, complete, and logical. | Completely relevant, accurate, complete, and logical |
| 15. | *The discussion is* | Poor. Importance and contributions of the major findings are not discussed in relation to literature or not supported by the findings. | Somewhat appropriate. Importance and contributions of the major findings are discussed partially in relation to literature or partially supported by the findings. | Acceptable. Importance and contributions of the major findings are discussed in relation to literature and supported by the findings. | Good. Importance and contributions of the major findings are discussed in relation to literature and clearly supported by the findings. | Excellent. Importance and contributions of the major findings are clearly discussed in relation to literature, and fully supported by the findings. |
| 16. | *References* *(comprehensive,* *up-to-date) show* | Inconsistent format, irrelevant references, and/or references are not up to date | Inconsistent format, but relevant references and/or references are not up to date | Consistent format, relevant references and/or references up to date | Consistent format and conforms to the required format, relevant references and references are up to date | Consistent format and conforms to the required format, references are comprehensive and up to date |
| 17. | *The research shows* | No novelty, not concise, not feasible, and no proper scope to address  | No novelty and it has no proper scope to address. | Novelty and it has proper scope to address, realistic, and feasible. | Considerable novelty and it has proper scope to address, realistic, and feasible. | High novelty and it has proper scope to address, concise, and feasible |